



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,097	03/30/2004	Miguel Peeters	1875.4380001	3088
26111	7590	08/31/2010 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		
		EXAMINER BATURAY, ALICIA		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER 2446	
		MAIL DATE 08/31/2010		DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/812,097	Applicant(s) PEETERS ET AL.
	Examiner Alicia Baturay	Art Unit 2446

–The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –

THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: None.

Claim(s) objected to: 18.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-17, 19-21 and 24-34.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet

12. Note the attached *Information Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____.

/Benjamin R Bruckart/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2446

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant Argues: The combination of Mueller, Lacey, ITU-T Recommendation G.992.3, or Johnson does not render "performing a handshake procedure to determine a set of possible modes of operation supported by the at least two modems; deriving a set of favorable modes of operation from the set of possible modes of operation; and, (c) and in case there exist two or more favorable modes of operation, performing a probing-based selection by evaluating respective performances of each of the favorable modes of operation and selecting a favorable mode of operation with best performance from among the set of favorable modes as the resultant mode of operation" as recited by independent claim 1 obvious.

In Response: The examiner respectfully submits that the combination Mueller, Lacey, ITU-T Recommendation G.992.3, and Johnson teaches (a) performing a handshake procedure to determine a set of possible modes of operation supported by the at least two modems (probing each of the bands at the transmitter and receiver, either in serial or parallel, to determine a mutual spectrum - see Mueller, col. 2, lines 23-25); (b) deriving a set of favorable modes of operation from the set of possible modes of operation (the entry generator selects the "best" communication path for the message. The "best" communication path is determined by the one having the highest current availability, considering such factors as available bandwidth of each path. It is undesirable to select a communication path that is known not to be functional, so all such communication paths are deleted from the candidate set - see Johnson, col. 5, lines 4-17); and, (c) and in case there exist two or more favorable modes of operation, performing a probing-based selection by evaluating respective performances of each of the favorable modes of operation and selecting a favorable mode of operation with best performance from among the set of favorable modes as the resultant mode of operation (if there are multiple communication paths that are tied for "best", then the choice is made on a secondary basis - see Johnson, col. 5, lines 18-35). This renders the rejection proper, and thus the rejection stands.